

Theorem 1. (*Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem for set*): Every bounded, infinite subset of real numbers (\mathbb{R}) has at least one limit point in \mathbb{R} .

We shall prove this theorem in different ways.

Proof. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and infinite set. Since, S is a bounded set. Hence, $\exists M > 0$ $S \subseteq [-M, M]$. Now, we define a set $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$T = \{x : \text{infinitely many points of } S \text{ are } \geq x\}.$$

(Let us consider $S = [1, 2020]$ and find the set T to understand the definition of T .)

Then, $M \neq \phi$, since $-M \in S$. Because all the points of S are $\geq -M$ and S has infinite number of points.

Again, S is bounded above by M . Since, if $x > M$, then no point of S is $\geq x$.

Therefore, supremum of T exists, say $\sup T = \xi \in \mathbb{R}$. **Claim: ξ is a limit point of S .**

Therefore, we need to show that every Nbd of ξ contains infinitely many points of S . Let us consider any $\delta > 0$. Since, $\sup T = \xi$, hence $\exists t \in T$ such that $t > \xi - \delta$.

Again, since $t \in T$, hence, there are infinitely many points of S that are $\geq t$. On the other hand, $\xi + \delta \notin T$ (*why?*).

Thus, there are only finitely many points of S that are $\geq \xi + \delta$. This implies, there are infinitely many points of S that are in $[t, \xi + \delta) \subseteq (\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta)$. Hence, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is a limit point of S . \square

The next prove is easier than above prove. However, we need to use the nested interval theorem to prove the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem for set.

Theorem 2. (*Nested interval theorem*) Let $\{I_n\}$ be a nested sequence of closed, bounded intervals. That is $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$. Then

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \neq \phi$$

Moreover, if $|I_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \text{ is a singleton set.}$$

◦ **Second proof of Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem for set.**

Proof. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and infinite set. Since, S is a bounded set. Hence, $\exists M > 0$ $S \subseteq [-M, M]$. Therefore, $[-M, M]$ contains infinitely many points of S .

◦ We now divide $[M, M]$ into $[-M, 0]$ and $[0, M]$. At least one of $[-M, 0]$ or $[0, M]$ has been contained infinitely many points of S .

◦ Without any loss of generality, let us consider $[0, M]$ contains infinitely many points of S . and denoted by $S_1 = [0, M]$

◦ Notice that the length of S_1 ($|S_1|$) is $M = \frac{2M}{2}$.

◦ Again divide S_1 into two closed intervals of equal length. At least one, say, S_2 , contains infinitely many points of S and the length of S_2 is $\frac{2M}{2^2} = \frac{M}{2}$.

◦ In this similar way we can construct S_1, S_2, \dots , so on. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ S_n is a closed interval of length $\frac{2M}{2^n} = \frac{M}{2^{n-1}}$ containing infinitely many points of S .

◦ Moreover, $S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq S_n \supseteq S_{n+1} \dots$ and length of S_n is $|S_n| = \frac{M}{2^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By using **nested interval theorem**

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n = \{\xi\}.$$

◦ **Claim:** ξ is a limit point of S .

For any $\delta > 0$, consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way that $\frac{2M}{2^n} = \frac{M}{2^{n-1}} < \delta$. Therefore,

$$(\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta) \supset \left[\xi - \frac{M}{2^{n-1}}, \xi + \frac{M}{2^{n-1}} \right] \supset S_n.$$

Since, S_n contains infinitely many points of S , hence $(\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta)$ contains infinitely many points of S . Therefore, ξ is a limit point of S . \square

Last one is a short proof of the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem. For that purpose, the following result is to be needed.

Theorem 3. *Let S be a set, and let \leq be a linear order on S . If for every nonempty $X \subseteq S$, both $\inf(X)$ and $\sup(X)$ exist and belong to X , then S is finite.*

◦ **Third proof of Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem for set.**

Proof. Let S be an infinite, bounded subset of \mathbb{R} , and assume S has no limit point. Suppose $X \subseteq S$ is nonempty. Then $\inf(X) \in X$, and $\sup X \in X$. Theorem 3 implies that S is finite. This is a contradiction. Thus, our assumption is not true. Hence, every infinite, bounded subset of \mathbb{R} , has at least one limit point in \mathbb{R} . \square

Note. *Do not write third proof in your university exam.*

Question 1. *Verify the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem for the set $\{1 + \frac{2020}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.*

Question 2. *Is converse of the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem true? Justify the answer.*

Question 3. *Can we apply the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem for the set of integer? Justify the answer.*